



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
P.O. Box 1450  
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

**MAILED**  
**FROM DIRECTORS OFFICE**

**JAN 13 2006**

**TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600**

Hughes Electronics Corporation  
Corporate Patents & Licensing  
Bldg R11 Mail Station A109  
P O Box 956  
El Segundo CA 90245-0956

In re Application of :  
Arthur W. Wang : **DECISION ON PETITION**  
Application No. 09/542,243 : **TO WITHDRAW THE**  
Filed: April 03, 2000 : **HOLDING OF ABANDONMENT**  
For: SATELLITE READY BUILDING AND :  
METHOD FOR FORMING THE SAME :

This is a decision on applicant's petition to withdraw the holding of abandonment, filed in the United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO), on May 26, 2005.

The petition is **DISMISSED**.

A review of the file record reveals that the application became abandoned for failure to respond to the Office action mailed to applicant on October 14, 2004. A Notice of Abandonment was mailed on May 16, 2005.

There is a strong presumption that Office communications properly addressed and delivered to the United States Postal Services, are in fact delivered to the addressee. An allegation that the Office communication was not received must be overcome by a showing that it was not received.

The showing required to establish non-receipt of an Office communication must include all of the following requirements:

- (1) A statement from the practitioner stating the Office communication was not received by the practitioner;
- (2) A statement attesting to the fact that a search of the file jacket and docket records indicates that the Office communication was not received; and
- (3) A copy of the docket record where the non-received Office communication would have been entered had it been received and docketed must be attached to and referenced in practitioner's statement.

The docket records indicated above must include **a copy of the list of all responses in the practitioner's office with the due date at and around January 14, 2005**. See Notice entitled "Withdrawing the Holding of Abandonment When Office Actions Are Not Received," 1156 OG 53 (November 16, 1993).


Applicant's petition indicates that after careful review of the file and docket records the October 14, 2004 Office action was never received. In support thereof applicant has provided a copy of "the docket record". The evidence provided is not considered a docket record but rather a log of incoming U.S.P.T.O. mail.

The petitioner has failed to comply with requirement (3) indicated above. Applicant has only set forth that the Office action mailed October 14, 2004 was not received or placed in the file for application 09/542,243. A complete docket record with a copy of the list of all responses in the practitioner's office with the **due date at and around January 14, 2005 is required**.

Petitioner's evidence of non-receipt of the Office action mailed October 14, 2004 is insufficient to withdraw the holding of abandonment, and the petition is **DISMISSED**.

Any request for reconsideration of this decision must be submitted within **TWO (2) MONTHS** from the mail date of this decision. Extensions of time under 37 CFR 1.136(a) are permitted. The reconsideration request should include a cover letter entitled "Renewed Petition Under 37 CFR 1.181."

Correspondence with respect to a Petition to Withdraw the Holding of Abandonment under *Delgar Inc. v. Schuyler* should be mailed to the Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.



---

Randolph A. Reese  
Special Program Examiner  
Patent Technology Center 3600  
(571) 272-6619

RAR/rjc 08/25/05